

Unit - IV

Measure and Integration

Def:

By a measurable space we mean a couple (X, \mathcal{B}) consisting of a set X and σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of subsets of X . A subset A of X is called measurable (or measurable with respect to \mathcal{B}) if $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Def:

By a measure μ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) we mean a nonnegative set function defined for all sets of \mathcal{B} and satisfying $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu E_i \quad \text{for any}$$

sequence $\{E_i\}$ of disjoint measurable sets.

By a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) we mean a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) together with a measure μ defined on \mathcal{B} .

Def:

A set E is said to be of finite measure; if $E \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu E < \infty$

A set E is said to be of σ -finite measure if E is the union of a countable collection of measurable sets of finite measure.

Proposition: 1

If $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A \subset B$

then $\mu A \leq \mu B$

Proof:

Since $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$ is a disjoint union
we have $\mu B = \mu A + \mu(B \setminus A)$

$$\mu B \geq \mu A$$

Hence the proof.

Proposition: 2

If $E_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mu E_i < \infty$ and $E_i \supset E_{i+1}$ then

$$\mu \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu E_n$$

Proof:

$$\text{Set } E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$$

$$\text{Then } E_i = E \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_j \setminus E_{j+1})$$

and this is a disjoint union.

$$\text{Hence } \mu E_i = \mu E + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu (E_j \setminus E_{j+1})$$

Since $E_i = E_{i+1} \cup (E_i \setminus E_{i+1})$ is a disjoint
we have,

$$\mu (E_i \setminus E_{i+1}) = \mu E_i - \mu E_{i+1}$$

Hence,

$$\mu E_i = \mu E + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu E_j - \mu E_{j+1})$$

$$= \mu E + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\mu E_j - \mu E_{j+1})$$

$$\mu E_i = \mu E + \mu E_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu E_n$$

Proposition: 3

If $E_i \in \mathcal{B}$ then $\mu \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu E_i$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } G_n = E_n \setminus \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i \right]$$

Then $G_n \subset E_n$ and sets G_n are disjoint

Hence

$$\mu G_n \leq \mu E_n$$

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu G_n$$

Def: A measure μ is called finite if $\mu(X) < \infty$. It is called σ -finite, if there is a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of measurable sets in \mathcal{B} such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ and $\mu X_n < \infty$.

Theorem: (Fatou's Lemma)

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions that converge almost everywhere on a set E to a function f .

Then $\int_E f \leq \liminf \int_E f_n$.

Proof:

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for each $x \in E$.

From the def. of $\int f$ it suffices to show that if ϕ is any non-negative simple function with $\phi \leq f$ then

$$\int_E \phi \leq \liminf \int_E f_n$$

Case (i)

If $\int_E \phi = \infty$ then there is a measurable set $A \subseteq E$ with $\mu A < \infty$ such that $\phi > a > 0$

on A . ie) $A = \{x \in E : \phi(x) > a\}$ — ①

Set $A_n = \{x \in E : f_n(x) > a \ \forall k \geq n\}$ — ②
Thus A_n is measurable. Since each f_k is measurable.

Then $\{A_n\}$ is an increasing sequence measurable sets whose union contains A

Since $\phi \leq \liminf f_n$

Thus $\lim \mu A_n = \infty$

Since $\int_E f_n \geq a \mu A_n$

We have $\int_E f_n < \infty = \int_E \phi$

(Case (ii)) If $\int_E \phi < \infty$ then the set

$A = \{x \in E : \phi(x) > 0\}$ is a measurable set of finite measure.

Let μ be the maximum of ϕ , let ϵ be given positive number and set

$$A_n = \{x \in E : f_k(x) > (1-\epsilon)\phi(x) \text{ for all } k \geq n\}$$

Then $\{A_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets whose ^{union} contains A and so $\{A \setminus A_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets whose intersection is empty.

By proposition: 2

$\lim \mu(A \setminus A_n) = 0$ and so we can find an n such that

$$\mu(A \setminus A_k) < \epsilon \text{ for all } k \geq n$$

Thus for $k \geq n$

$$\int_E f_k \geq \int_{A_k} f_k \geq (1-\epsilon) \int_{A_k} \phi$$

$$\geq (1-\epsilon) \int_E \phi - \int_{A \setminus A_k} \phi$$

$$\geq \int_E \phi - \epsilon \left[\int_E \phi + \mu M \right]$$

$$\lim \int_E f_n \geq \int_E \phi - \epsilon \left[\int_E \phi + \mu M \right]$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary,

$$\lim \int_E f_n \geq \int_E \phi$$

$$\therefore \int_E f \leq \lim \int_E f_n$$

Monotone Convergence Theorem:

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions which converge a.e. to a function f and suppose that $f_n \leq f$ for all n . Then $\int f = \lim \int f_n$.

Proof:

Since $f_n \leq f$

We have $\int f_n \leq \int f$

Thus by Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\int f \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n \leq \overline{\lim} \int f_n \leq \int f$$

Lemma:

Let E be a measurable set such that $0 < \nu E < \infty$. Then there is a positive set A contained in E with $\nu A > 0$.

Proof:

Either E itself is a positive set or it contains sets of negative measure.

In the latter case let n_1 be the smallest positive integer such that there is a measurable set $E_1 \subset E$ with $\nu E_1 < \frac{1}{n_1}$.

Proceeding inductively,

if $E \sim \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j$ is not already a positive set.

Let n_k be the smallest positive integer for which there is a measurable set E_k such that

$$E_k \subset E \sim \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j \right] \text{ and}$$

$$\nu E_k < \frac{1}{n_k}$$

If we set

$$A = E \sim \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$$

$$\text{Then } E = A \cup \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right]$$

since this is a disjoint union we get,

$$\nu_E = \nu_A + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{E_k}$$

with the series on the right absolutely convergent.

Since ν_E is finite.

Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k}$ converges and we have

$$n_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\nu_{E_k} \leq 0$ and $\nu_E > 0$

We must have $\nu_A > 0$. To show that A is a positive set. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

Since $n_k \rightarrow \infty$

We may choose k so large that

$$(n_k - 1)^{-1} < \epsilon$$

$$\text{Since } ACE \sim \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^k E_j \right]$$

A can contain no measurable sets with measure less than $-(n_k - 1)^{-1}$.

which is greater than $-\epsilon$.

Thus A contains no measurable sets of measure less than $-\epsilon$.

Since ϵ is an arbitrary positive number.

It follows that A can contain no set of negative measure and so must be a positive set.

Lemma: 4.8

Suppose that to each α in dense set D of real numbers. There is assigned a set $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_\alpha \subset B_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$. Then there is

a unique measurable extended real valued function f on X , such that $f \leq \alpha$ on B_α and $f \geq \alpha$ on $X \setminus B_\alpha$.

proof:

Given that \mathcal{D} is a dense set of real numbers and to each $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ $\exists B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_\alpha \subset B_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$.

To prove:

There is a unique measurable extended real valued function f on X such that $f \leq \alpha$ on B_α and $f \geq \alpha$ on $X \setminus B_\alpha$.

For each $x \in X$ define

$$f(x) = \inf \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{D} \mid x \in B_\alpha \}$$

Where as usual $\inf \emptyset = \infty$

$$\text{If } x \in B_\alpha \text{ Then } f(x) \leq \alpha \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{If } x \in X \setminus B_\alpha \text{ Then } x \in X \ \& \ x \notin B_\alpha$$

$$x \notin B_\alpha \Rightarrow x \notin B_\beta \quad \text{for each } \beta < \alpha.$$

$$\{ \because \beta < \alpha \Rightarrow B_\beta \subset B_\alpha \} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\therefore f(x) \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X \setminus B_\alpha.$$

Thus from (1) & (2)

There exists a real valued function f on X such that $f \leq \alpha$ on B_α & $f \geq \alpha$ on $X \setminus B_\alpha$. It remains to prove that f is measurable.

Since \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathbb{R} . $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{R}$

\therefore For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose a sequence $\{d_n\}$ in \mathcal{D} with $d_n < \lambda$ and $\lambda = \lim d_n$

Claim:

$$\{x: f(x) < \lambda\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha_n}$$

$$\text{Let } x \in \{x: f(x) < \lambda\} \Rightarrow f(x) < \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) < \alpha_n \text{ for some } n.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in B_{\alpha_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha_n}$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{Let } x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha_n} \Rightarrow x \in B_{\alpha_n} \text{ for some } n.$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \leq \alpha_n < \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \{x: f(x) < \lambda\}$$

$$\text{Hence } \{x: f(x) < \lambda\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha_n}$$

Since each n , $B_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{B}$ we have B_{α_n} is measurable for each n .

$$\therefore \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha_n} \text{ is measurable.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{x: f(x) < \lambda\} \text{ is measurable.}$$

$$\therefore f \text{ is measurable.}$$

To prove the uniqueness of f

Let g be any extended real value function with $g < \alpha$ on B_{α} and $g \geq \alpha$ on $X \setminus B_{\alpha}$

$$\text{ie) } x \in B_{\alpha} \Rightarrow g(x) < \alpha$$

$$\therefore \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} : x \in B_{\alpha}\} \subset \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} : \alpha > g(x)\}$$

Since $g(x) < \alpha \Rightarrow x \in B_{\alpha}$ we get

$$\{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} : \alpha > g(x)\} \subset \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} : x \in B_{\alpha}\}$$

Now,

$$g(x) = \inf \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} : \alpha > g(x)\}$$

$$= \inf \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{D} : \alpha \geq g(x) \} \quad \{ \because \mathcal{D} \text{ is dense in } \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$= \inf \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{D} : x \in B_\alpha \}$$

(e) $g(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

Hence f is unique.

Proposition: 4.9

Suppose that for each α in a dense set \mathcal{D} of real numbers, there is assigned a set $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu(B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) = 0$ for $\alpha < \beta$. Then there is a measurable function f such that $f \leq \alpha$ a.e. on B_α and $f \geq \alpha$ a.e. on $X \sim B_\alpha$.

If g is any other function with this property then $g = f$ a.e.

Proof: Given that \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

And for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a set $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu(B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) = 0$ for $\alpha < \beta$.

To prove:

There is a measurable function f such that $f \leq \alpha$ a.e. on B_α and $f \geq \alpha$ a.e. on $X \sim B_\alpha$.

Let C be a countable dense subset of \mathcal{D} and

Let $N = \cup (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in C$ with $\alpha < \beta$.

$$\text{Then } \mu_N = \mu \left(\cup (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) \right)$$

$$= \sum \mu (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) = 0$$

$\therefore N$ is a set of measure zero.

$$\text{Let } B_\alpha' = B_\alpha \cup N$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in C$ with $\alpha < \beta$.

$$B_\alpha' \sim B_\beta' = (B_\alpha \cup N) \sim (B_\beta \cup N)$$

$$B_\alpha' - B_\beta' = (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) \sim N$$

$$= (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta) \sim \bigcup (B_\alpha \sim B_\beta)$$

$$B_\alpha' - B_\beta' = \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow B_\alpha' \subset B_\beta' \text{ for } \alpha < \beta.$$

Then by the previous theorem

There is a measurable function f such that $f \leq \gamma$ on B_γ' and $f \geq \gamma$ on \tilde{B} . Since C is dense in D , for each $\alpha \in D$, we can choose a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ from C with $\alpha < \gamma_n$ and $\alpha = \lim \gamma_n$.

claim:

$$B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}' \subset B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}$$

$$\text{Let } x \in B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}'$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in B_\alpha \text{ \& } x \notin B_{\gamma_n}'$$

$$x \notin B_{\gamma_n} \text{ \& } \cup N$$

$$\Rightarrow x \notin B_{\gamma_n} \text{ \& } x \notin N$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in B_\alpha \text{ \& } x \notin B_{\gamma_n}$$

$$\therefore B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}' \subset B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}$$

$$\text{Also, } B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}' = B_\alpha \sim (B_{\gamma_n} \cup N)$$

$$= (B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}) \cap (B_\alpha \sim N)$$

$$= (B_\alpha \sim B_{\gamma_n}) \cap \emptyset$$

$$= \emptyset$$

Thus $P = \bigcup_n (B_\alpha - B_{\gamma_n}')$ is a countable union of null sets and so is a null set.

$$\text{Let } A = \bigcap (B_{\gamma_n}') = \bigcap B_{\gamma_n}'$$

Then $f \leq \inf \gamma_n = \alpha$ on A and

$$A \sim B_\alpha \subset P$$

$\therefore f \leq \alpha$ a.e. on B_α .

Imply we can prove that $f \geq \alpha$ a.e. on \tilde{B}_α

Suppose that g be an extended real valued function with $g \leq \nu$ a.e. on β_ν and $g \geq \nu$ a.e. on $X \setminus \beta_\nu$ for each $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $g \leq \nu$ on β_ν' except for x in a null set Q_ν .

$$\text{Let } Q = \bigcup Q_\nu$$

Then Q is also a null set.

\therefore We have $f = g$ on $X \setminus Q$

$\Rightarrow f = g$ a.e. on X .

4.2. Integration:

Signed Measure:

Def:

By a signed measure on the measurable space (X, β) , we mean an extended real-valued set function ν defined for the sets of β . i.e.) $\nu: \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) ν assume at most one of the values $-\infty, \infty$.

$$(ii) \nu(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$(iii) \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu E_i$$

$$\text{Eg: } (\mathbb{R}, \mu, -m)$$

Def:

We say that a set A positive set w.r. to a signed measure ν if A is measurable and for any measurable subset E

of A , we have $\nu(A) \geq 0$.

Def: A set that is both positive & negative w.r. to ν is called null set.

Note:

(1) A measurable set is a null set if every measurable subset of it has ν measure zero.

(2) All measurable sets are positive.

(3) Countable subsets are negative.

Lemma: 19

Every measurable subset of a positive set is itself positive. The union of a countable collection of positive sets is positive.

Proof:

Let A be the union of sequence $\{A_n\}$ of positive sets if E is any measurable subset of A ,

$$\text{define: } E_n = E \cap A_n \cap A_{n-1}^c \cap \dots \cap A_2^c \cap A_1^c.$$

Thus $E_n \subset A_n$ measurable & so $\nu E_n \geq 0$

Since E_n are disjoint & $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, we have

$$\nu E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu E_n \geq 0$$

Thus A is a positive set.

Lemma: 20

Let E be a measurable set such that $0 < \nu E < \infty$. Then there is a positive set $A \subset E$ with $\nu A > 0$

Proof:

If E itself is a positive set, we are done otherwise,

E contains sets of negative measure

ie) There is a measurable subset E_1 of E with $\nu E_1 < 0$

Let n_1 be the smallest the integer $\exists \nu E_1 < -\frac{1}{n_1}$

Since $\nu E_1 < 0$

$$\Rightarrow -\nu E_1 > 0, \quad N(-\nu E_1) > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow -\nu E_1 > \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\nu E_1 < -\frac{1}{N}$$

Now consider $E - E_1$. If $(E - E_1)$ is a positive set.

We are done $E = (E - E_1) \cup E_1$

$$\nu E = \nu(E - E_1) + \nu E_1$$

$> 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad < 0$

$$\therefore \nu(E - E_1) > 0$$

$< \infty$

$$0 < \nu(E - E_1) < \infty$$

Otherwise continue the process inductively

ie) There is a measurable subset E_2 of $(E - E_1)$ with $\nu E_2 < 0$

Let n_2 be the smallest positive integer $\exists \nu E_2 < -\frac{1}{n_2}$

Now, consider $E - (E_1 \cup E_2)$. Again if it is positive we are done otherwise. Continue the process inductively & in $(k-1)$ th step if

$(E - \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i)$ is not already a positive set,

Let n_k be the smallest positive integer for which there is a measurable set E_k such that $E_k \subset (E - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i)$.

$$\forall E_k < -\frac{1}{n_k}$$

Now, we set $A = E - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$

$$\text{Then } E = A \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right)$$

Now if we set $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$

$$\text{Then } E = A \cup \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right]$$

$$\forall E = \forall A + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \forall E_k \quad [\because \forall E_k < 0 \quad \forall k]$$

Thus $\sum \frac{1}{n_k}$ converges, $n_k \rightarrow \infty$

Since $\forall E_k < 0$, $\forall E > 0$,

we must have $\forall A > 0$

$\forall E_k < -\frac{1}{n_k}$, $\sum \frac{1}{n_k}$ converges by

Comparison test

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\forall E_k| \text{ converges}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\forall E_k) \text{ converges } \left(0 < \frac{1}{n_k} < -\forall E_k \right)$$

To see that A is a positive set.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ is given

Since $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, we may choose k so

large that $\frac{1}{n_{k-1}} < \epsilon$ since $A \supseteq E - \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i \right]$

A can contain no measurable set with measure less than $-\frac{1}{n_{k-1}}$ which is greater than $-\epsilon$ and A contains no measurable sets with measure of measure less than $-\epsilon$.

Since ϵ is an arbitrary positive numbers.

It follows that A can contain no sets of negative measure & so A must be positive.

Hahn Decomposition Theorem:

Let ν be a signed measure on the measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) . Then there is a positive set A and a negative set B such that $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

proof:

w.l.o.g. (without loss of generality)

We may assume that ν does not take ∞

$$\lambda = \sup \{ \nu P \mid P \text{ is a +ve set w.r. to } \nu \}$$

$$\nu(\emptyset) = 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\nu(\emptyset) = 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0$$

Let $\{A_i\}$ of +ve set which converges to λ .

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu A_i = \lambda$$

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$$

$$\nu A \leq \lambda \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$A - A_i \subset A$$

$$A - A_i \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$\nu(A - A_i) \geq 0$$

$$\nu(A) = \nu(A_i) + \nu(A - A_i)$$

$$\geq \nu A_i$$

$$\geq \lim \nu A_i$$

$$\nu(A) \geq \lambda \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From (1) & (2) $\nu A = \lambda$

Let $B = X - A$ ($\sim A$).

Suppose if E is a +ve subset of B

$$E \cup A = \text{+ve set.}$$

to disjoint

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\geq \nu(E \cup A) \\ &= \nu(E) + \nu A \\ &= \nu E + \lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda \geq \nu E + \lambda$$

$$\nu E = 0 \quad \text{since } 0 \leq \lambda < \infty$$

Thus B contains no positive subset of positive measure.

$$\text{Thus } X = A \cup B$$

$$X = (A \cup N) \cup (B - N)$$

$$\text{and } A \cap B = \emptyset$$

Eg: (\mathbb{R}, μ, m)

$$A = \mathbb{I}, B = \mathbb{Q}$$

$$R = \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{I}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{I} = \emptyset$$

Mutually singular:

Two measures μ and ν defined on (X, \mathcal{B}) are said to be mutually singular $(\mu \perp \nu)$.

if there are disjoint measurable sets A and B with $X = A \cup B$ and $\mu A = 0 = \nu B$

Eg: m, ν

$$(\mathbb{R}, \mu), m \mathbb{Q} = 0$$

$$A = \mathbb{I}, B = \mathbb{Q}, \mu \mathbb{I} = 0$$

$$R = \mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\mathbb{I} \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$$

1.8 Jordan Decomposition:

Let ν be a signed measure on the measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) . Then there are two mutually singular measures ν^+ and ν^- on (X, \mathcal{B}) such that $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$. Moreover, there is only one such pair of mutually singular measures.
proof:

$$X = A \cup B$$

A - the set, B - the set

$$\nu^+ : B : [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap A)$$

$$\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap B)$$

$$\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap B)$$

$$\nu^+(\phi) = \nu(\phi \cap A) = \nu(\phi) = 0$$

$$\nu^-(\phi) = -\nu(\phi \cap B) = -\nu(\phi) = 0$$

Let $\{E_i\}$ be a sequence of measurable sets.

$$\nu^+\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \cap A\right)$$

$$= \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i \cap A)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_i \cap A)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu^+(E_i)$$

$$(\nu^+ - \nu^-)(E) = \nu^+(E) - \nu^-(E)$$

$$= \nu(E \cap A) + \nu(E \cap B)$$

$$= \nu[(E \cap A) \cup (E \cap B)]$$

$$= \nu(E \cap X)$$

$$= \nu(E)$$

$$\nu^+ \perp \nu^-$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^+(B) &= \nu(B \cap A) \\ &= \nu(\phi) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\nu^-(A) = -\nu(A \cap B) = -\nu(\phi) = 0$$

Note:

The measure $|\nu|$ defined by, $|\nu|E| = \nu^+(E) + \nu^-(E)$ is called the absolute value of total variation of ν .

Def:

A measure ν is said to be absolutely continuous w.r. to measure μ if $\nu(A) = 0$ for each set A for which $\mu(A) = 0$.

We use the symbol $\nu \ll \mu$ to say ν is absolutely continuous.

Note:

(i) In case of signed measure μ and ν . We say $\nu \ll \mu$.

if $|\nu| \ll |\mu|$ and $\nu \perp \mu$

if $|\nu| \perp |\mu|$

ii) Also for $\mu \ll \mu$

$\nu = c\mu$, $c \neq 0$, $\nu \ll \mu$

iii) $0 \ll \mu$, But $\mu \not\ll 0$

for a non-zero measure μ .

The Radon-Nikodym Theorem:

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, and let ν be a σ -finite measure defined on \mathcal{B} which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Then there is a non-negative measurable function f such that for each set E in \mathcal{B}

We have

$$\int_E f d\mu$$

The function f is unique in the sense that if g is any measurable function with this property. Then $g = f$ a.e. $[K]$.

proof:

lemma:

{ Suppose that to each α in a dense set D of real numbers there is assigned a set

$$B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}.$$

such that $B_\alpha \subset B_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$. Then there is a unique measurable extended real-valued function f on X such that $\mu(B_\alpha \setminus B_\beta) = 0$

for $\alpha < \beta$.

Then there is a measurable function f such that $f \leq \alpha$ a.e. on B_α and $f \geq \alpha$ a.e. on $X \setminus B_\alpha$. If g is any other function with this property.

$$\text{Then } g = f \text{ a.e. }$$

proof:

(X, \mathcal{B}, μ) to be a σ -finite measurable space. We still assume μ to be finite.

$$D = \mathbb{Q}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\nu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$(\nu - \alpha\mu)$ to be a signed measure

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha = X, \quad A_\alpha \cap B_\alpha = \emptyset$$

$$A_0 = X, \quad B_0 = \emptyset$$

$$B_\alpha - B_\beta \Rightarrow B_\alpha \cap B_\beta^c = B_\alpha \cap A_\beta$$

$$B_\alpha \cap B_\beta \quad P > \alpha$$

B_α - -ve set w.r. to $\nu - \alpha\mu$

A_β - +ve set w.r. to $\nu - \beta\mu$

$$(\nu - \alpha\mu)(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$(\nu - \beta\mu)(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \geq 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

- eqn ②

$$-(\nu - \beta\mu)(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

①+③

$$(\beta\mu)(B_\alpha - B_\beta) = \alpha\mu(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0$$

$$(\beta\mu - \alpha\mu)(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0$$

$$\underbrace{(\beta - \alpha)}_{\leq 0} \underbrace{\mu}_{\geq 0} (B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0$$

$$\mu(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0 \quad \left. \vphantom{\mu(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \leq 0} \right\} = 0$$

$$\mu(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \geq 0 \quad \left. \vphantom{\mu(B_\alpha - B_\beta) \geq 0} \right\} = 0$$

$f \leq \alpha$ on B_α

$f \geq \alpha$ on $X - B_\alpha$

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \nu - \alpha\mu = \nu$$

$$X = A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha, \quad A_\alpha \cap B_\alpha = \phi$$

$$A_0 = X, \quad B_0 = \phi$$

$$f \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } B_0 = \phi \\ f \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } A_0 = X \end{array} \right.$$

Let E is any arbitrary set in \mathcal{B} .

$$E_k = E \cap \left(B_{\frac{k+1}{N}} \setminus B_{\frac{k}{N}} \right)$$

$$E_\infty = E - \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{\frac{k}{N}}$$

Then $E = E_\infty \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$

choose $x \in E_k$

$$\rightarrow x \in B_{\frac{k+1}{N}}$$

$$\frac{k}{N} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{k+1}{N} \quad \text{a.e on } E_k$$

$$\int_{E_k} \frac{k}{N} d\mu \leq \int_{E_k} f d\mu \leq \int_{E_k} \frac{k+1}{N} d\mu$$

$$\frac{k}{N} \mu E_k \leq \nu E_k \leq \frac{k+1}{N} \mu E_k$$

$$0 \leq \left| \int_{E_k} f d\mu - \nu E_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{N} \mu E_k \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $\nu \ll \mu$

$\nu E = 0$ for each set E $\mu E = 0$

$f = \infty$ on E_∞

i) $\mu E_\infty = 0$

$$\int_{E_\infty} f d\mu = 0$$

$$\nu \ll \mu, \mu E_\infty = 0$$

$$\nu E_\infty = 0$$

$$\int_{E_\infty} f d\mu = \nu E_\infty$$

ii) $\mu E_\infty > 0$

$$E_\infty = E - \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{\frac{k}{N}}$$

$$x \in E_\infty, x \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{\frac{k}{N}}, k \geq 0$$

$$x \in A_{k/N} \quad \forall k$$

$$f \geq \frac{k}{N}, \quad k \geq 0$$

$$f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \int f d\mu = \infty$$

$$E_\infty \subset A_{k/N} \quad E_\infty$$

$$(\nu - \frac{k}{N} \mu)(E_\infty) \geq 0$$

$$\nu E_\infty \geq \frac{k}{N} \mu E_\infty$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \nu E_\infty = \infty$$

$$\int_{E_\infty} f d\mu = \nu E_\infty$$

$$\nu E - \frac{1}{N} \mu E \leq \int f d\mu \leq \int \nu E + \frac{1}{N} \mu E$$

$$\mu E \text{ is finite, } \nu E = \int f d\mu$$

N - arbitrary

Hence the proof

denote $\left[\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right]$

$$i) \nu E_\infty = 0$$

$$\int_{E_\infty} f d\mu = 0$$

$$\nu E_\infty = 0$$

$$\nu E_\infty = 0$$

$$\int_{E_\infty} f d\mu = \nu E_\infty$$

$$ii) \nu E_\infty > 0$$

$$\nu E_\infty = \int_{E_\infty} f d\mu$$